

1 SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
2 Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
3 101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
4 Telephone: (213) 205-6560
cm@SoCalEAG.com

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff
CAMERON HARRELL
6

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 CAMERON HARRELL,
11 Plaintiff,
12 vs.

13
14 KASIH INC; CHRISTINE TAING; and
15 DOES 1 to 10,
16 Defendants.
17
18
19
20
21

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;

2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;

3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;

4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;

5. NEGLIGENCE

22
23 Plaintiff CAMERON HARRELL ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants KASIH
24 INC; CHRISTINE TAING; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

25 **PARTIES**

26 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff is
27 diagnosed with complete paraplegia due to a spinal cord injury and is substantially
28

1 limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when
2 traveling in public.

3 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
4 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a liquor store
5 (“Business”) located at or about 3512 Cajon Blvd., San Bernardino, California.

6 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
7 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
8 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
9 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
10 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon, alleges that each such
11 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
12 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

13 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
14 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
15 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
16 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
17 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

18 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
19 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
20 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
21 and severally.

22 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

23 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
24 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
25 *seq.*).

26 7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,
27 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,
28

1 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,
2 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

3 8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

4 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real
5 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, San Bernardino
6 County, California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

7 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

8 10. In or about August of 2024, Plaintiff went to the Business.

9 11. The Business is a liquor store business establishment, open to the public, and
10 is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation.
11 Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

12 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
13 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the
14 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

15 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
16 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 17 a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
18 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
19 failed to provide the parking space identification sign with the
20 International Symbol of Accessibility.
- 21 b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
22 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
23 failed to post required signage such as "Van Accessible," "Minimum
24 Fine \$250" and "Unauthorized Parking."
- 25 c. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
26 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
27 failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the
28 persons with disabilities as there were no "NO PARKING" markings

1 painted on the surface of the access aisle. Additionally, the access
2 aisle failed to meet the minimum width requirements.

3 d. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
4 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
5 failed to paint the ground as required.

6 e. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
7 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
8 standards. Defendants failed to maintain the mark on the space with
9 the International Symbol of Accessibility, resulting in the paint
10 becoming severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.

11 f. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
12 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
13 standards. Defendants failed to maintain the blue borderlines and blue
14 hatched lines painted on the ground as required, resulting in the
15 markings becoming severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.

16 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff full and equal access to the
17 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and
18 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because
19 his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are
20 removed.

21 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that
22 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.
23 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*
24 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

25 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
26 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
27 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.
28

1 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
2 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
3 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).

4 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
5 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
6 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
7 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
8 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
9 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
10 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

11 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
12 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily
13 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including
14 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
15 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
16 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
17 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
18 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the
19 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area
20 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and
21 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

22 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be
23 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every
24 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in
25 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA
26 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall
27 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.
28

1 22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by
2 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California
3 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the
4 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized
5 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign
6 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A
7 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and
8 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12
9 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the
10 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.

11 23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at
12 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site
13 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be
14 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in
15 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in
16 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license
17 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed away at the owner’s expense...”
18 See CBC § 11B-502.8, et seq.

19 24. Here, Defendants failed to provide the parking space identification sign with
20 the International Symbol of Accessibility. In addition, Defendants failed to provide the
21 signs stating, “Minimum Fine \$250” and “Van Accessible.” Moreover, Defendants failed
22 to provide the additional sign with the specific language stating “Unauthorized vehicles
23 parked in designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special
24 license plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed away at the owner’s
25 expense...”

26 25. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted
27 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked
28 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting

1 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall
2 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches
3 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §
4 11B-502.3.3.

5 26. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper access aisle as there were no
6 "NO PARKING" markings painted on the parking surface. Additionally, the blue
7 borderlines and blue hatched lines painted on the parking surface were poorly
8 maintained, resulting in the markings becoming severely deteriorated, hindering
9 visibility. Moreover, Defendants failed to provide the access aisle with the minimum
10 width of 96 inches.

11 27. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface
12 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile
13 view of a wheelchair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36" wide
14 by 36" high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum
15 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the
16 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the
17 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining
18 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with
19 occupant. See CBC § 11B-502.6.4, et seq.

20 28. Here, Defendants failed to maintain the International Symbol of
21 Accessibility painted on the surface as required, resulting in the markings becoming
22 severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.

23 29. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
24 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
25 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

26 30. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by
27 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related
28 regulations.

1 31. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to
2 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be
3 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks
4 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable
5 by individuals with disabilities.

6 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

8 32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
9 paragraphs in this complaint.

10 33. California Civil Code § 51 states, “All persons within the jurisdiction of this
11 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,
12 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual
13 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full
14 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business
15 establishments of every kind whatsoever.”

16 34. California Civil Code § 52 states, “Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,
17 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6, is liable
18 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be
19 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the
20 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any
21 attorney’s fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any
22 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

23 35. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any
24 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)
25 shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

26 36. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
27 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
28 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.

1 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
2 51 and 52.

3 37. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
4 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
5 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

6 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

8 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
9 paragraphs in this complaint.

10 39. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be
11 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
12 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
13 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
14 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
15 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
16 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
17 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
18 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
19 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

20 40. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
21 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
22 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
23 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
24 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
25 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no
26 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be
27 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
28 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

1 41. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an
2 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
3 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
4 the access of any person in violation of that act.

5 42. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
6 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
7 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
8 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

9 43. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
10 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
11 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

12 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

14 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
15 paragraphs in this complaint.

16 45. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
17 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each
18 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
19 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
20 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

21 46. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
22 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
23 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
24 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
25 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
26 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
27 persons with disabilities.
28

1 47. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
2 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
3 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
4 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
5 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
6 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
7 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
8 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

9 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **NEGLIGENCE**

11 48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
12 paragraphs in this complaint.

13 49. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
14 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
15 to the Plaintiff.

16 50. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
17 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

18 51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff
19 has suffered damages.

20 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

21 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against
22 Defendants as follows:

23 1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply
24 with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

25 2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory
26 damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

27 3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants’ unfair competition
28 practices;

1 4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this
2 action;

3 5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

4 6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

5 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

6 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby
7 demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

8
9 Dated: November 6, 2024

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

10
11
12 By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
13 Jason J. Kim, Esq.
14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28